

Società Italiana di Agronomia 50° Convegno Nazionale



Evoluzione dei sistemi agronomici in risposta alle sfide globali Udine, 15-17 settembre 2021

Soil tillage and fertilization affect durum wheat and weeds interactions in Mediterranean environment

Emanuele Radicetti¹, Roberto Mancinelli², Verdiana Petroselli², Mohamed Allam²,

¹ University of Ferrara, DOCPAS Dept., IT; Correspondent author: emanuele.radicetti@unife.it

² University of Tuscia, DAFNE Dept., IT

Introduction

Conservation practices, mainly based on reduced soil disturbance and diversification of plant species over seasons, aim to improve the biodiversity and natural biological processes and contribute to greater use efficiency of water and nutrients supporting crop production. Organic fertilizers bring directly and indirectly benefits to soil fertility by increasing the organic matter, improving soil structure and stability, and promoting more balanced biological components. Weeds can have an important role in the agro-ecosystems, as long as they have not become a crop production obstacle. Therefore, it is essential to adopt a sustainable approach for their management to satisfy the agro-ecological objectives. The objective of this study was to evaluate the potential role of different soil tillage and fertilization sources on durum wheat yield and relative weed interactions.

Materials and Methods

The field trials were carried out at the University of Tuscia. The soil characteristics are: 63% sand, 22% silt and 15% clay, 1.07% organic carbon, 0.12% nitrogen, 7.1 pH. The weather conditions were similar to that observed in the long term period (30-year). The experimental treatments were: (i) two fertilization types [mineral fertilizers (M); organic fertilizer (O) (composted urban organic waste)]; (ii) three tillage methods at a depth of 30 cm [plowing tillage (P), sub-soiling tillage (R), and spading tillage (S)]. A complete randomized block design with three replications was adopted. In September, the soil was tilled following the above-mentioned treatments and it was harrowed in order to break soil clods for the seed bed preparation. The organic fertilizer (15 t ha⁻¹) was distributed in one application before the harrowing. Mineral fertilizers were applied by distributing the phosphorus (80 kg of P_2O_5 ha⁻¹) before the harrowing, and the nitrogen at the beginning of tilling stage (20 kg of N ha⁻¹), at the end of the tilling stage (30 of N kg ha⁻¹) and at the beginning of stem elongation (50 kg of N ha⁻¹). Durum wheat var. Colosseo was sown on November 27th, 2013 and November 25th, 2014, harvested on July 3rd, 2014 and June 26th, 2015. Wheat biomass and yield components, and weeds were collected from a 1 m² guadrant. Data were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Fisher's protected least significant differences (LSD) test at the 0.05 probability level was adopted to compare the effects.

Results

The durum wheat production in terms of biomass, harvest index (HI), thousand seeds weight, seed bleaching and specific weight was affected by both soil tillage and fertilization source interacting with year. Durum wheat biomass varied from 1003 to 1289 g m⁻² of DM and tended to be higher in plowing treatment in both years and using mineral fertilization (M) also in both years (Tab. 1). The weather conditions measured during the growing seasons tended to be different in the two experimental years. The rainfall was higher in the first year compared to the second year in which a high drought level was observed in springtime. The HI and thousand seed weight results favored by sub-soiling and spading tillage practices, even if durum wheat biomass was lower in both years. Generally, under organic fertilization treatment (O), wheat biomass was similar in both years. No significant differences were observed between fertilizer sources for the thousand seeds weight. Generally, the yield components parameters were better in the second year compared to the first year. The Durum wheat production in terms of biomass resulted to be significantly affected by weed density especially under sub-soiling tillage (R) and spading tillage (S) systems, while the relationship was not significant when plowing tillage is adopted (P > 0.05, Fig. 1). Wheat biomass was affected also by weed biomass but only under spading tillage (S). Not relationships was observed concerning the fertilizer sources.



Conclusions

This study demonstrated that different soil tillage methods and fertilization sources affect durum wheat production and weeds biomass. Although the durum wheat performed better under conventional tilled soil by means of plowing, the need of the adoption of sustainable agronomical practices such as reduced tillage practices should be stimulated for environmental issues.

studies

addressed

Table 1 - Durum wheat production and yield Therefore, components. Values of the same parameter with different letters are statistically different according

| | 10 LSD (P < 0.05). | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|---|----------------------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| | | | Biomass | | Thousand | Bleaching | Specific |
| | | | | HI | seeds weight | | weight |
| | | | (g m ⁻²) | | (g) | (%) | (kg hl^{-1}) |
| | | D | 12800 | 0.402ab | 47 Joh | 88 Ob | 78 70 |
| | 2014 | F | 12074 | 0.40540 | 47.240 | 00.00 | 70.70 |
| | 2014 | к | 11/90 | 0.381c | 46.9ab | 91.5a | /8./c |
| | | S | 1110bc | 0.396b | 52.4a | 94.0a | 78.6c |
| | | Р | 1215ab | 0.392bc | 50.2a | 26.6c | 89.1a |
| | 2015 | R | 1003c | 0.402ab | 44.4b | 21.8d | 87.2b |
| - | | S | 1073bc | 0.412a | 48.2ab | 22.4d | 87.4b |
| 70 | | | | | | | |
| /0 | 2014 | М | 1451a | 0.409a | 50.6a | 92.1a | 78.6c |
| | | 0 | 934c | 0.378b | 47.0a | 90.2a | 78.7c |
| ed | 2015 | М | 1239b | 0.415a | 47.5a | 25.4b | 88.7a |
| | | 0 | 955c | 0.389b | 47.7a | 21.8c | 87.1b |
| | | | | | | | |

the weed characteristics and their competition effects to avoid severe yield loss and support environmental-friendly Moreover, practices. detailed studies regarding organic fertilization application should be conduct

should

to evaluate

further

be

because the methods and time of application can be relevant for the crop performance.